one of the finest dancers the world has ever seen, a figure who is inseparable from such masterpieces as Stravinsky's Rite of Spring and Debussy's Afternoon of a Faun—ballets that Diaghilev organized. However, on an ocean voyage to South America, Nijinsky deserted him for a Hungarian ballerina. Diaghilev replaced him with the sixteen-year-old Léonide Massine, who, though heterosexual, was willing to go along with the relationship to learn what Diaghilev could teach him.

In the meantime Diaghilev's first efforts at establishing the ballet were difficult, though he did present the world with the genius of Igor Stravinsky through The Firebird. In 1911 he formed his own company, which from its base in Paris reached other Western European cities. World War I caused problems, but Diaghilev was nonetheless able to keep things going from Rome. Throughout his career as an impresario Diaghilev had the ability—through his matchless self-confidence—to rescue triumphs from seemingly impossible situations.

The last decade of his life was the time of achievement that has made his name virtually synonymous with ballet. He had not only a sure instinct for dancers, but also for conductors, composers and artists. He was able to utilize avant-garde artists such as Pablo Picasso, André Derain, and Georges Rouault in such a way as to make them accessible to a middlebrow public. In this way he made a decisive contribution to the emergence of modernist painting from its earlier constricted environment. During his last years Diaghilev had non-exclusive affairs with three young men: the English dancer Patrick Healy Kay (who became known by the name that the impresario gave him, Anton Dolin); the Russian dancer Serge Lifar; and the Russian conductor Igor Markevitch. In August 1929, after completing twenty years of ceaseless creativity in Western Europe, Diaghilev died suddenly in Venice, his favorite city, where he was buried.


Ward Houser

DICKINSON, EMILY (1830–1886)
American poet. After brief periods at Amherst Academy and Holyoke Female Seminary, she settled into an outwardly uneventful life keeping house for her family. Dickinson never married. The real events in her life are her writings, which have assumed classic status in American literature.

Emily Dickinson's letters to several of her female acquaintances convince us that throughout her life she had strong emotional attachments, which may be described as love relationships, with other women. A comparison of such love letters with letters which she wrote at about the same time to women who were merely good friends indicates that her impassioned language was not simply sentimental rhetoric of the period, and that these involvements, while probably non-genital, were clearly homoerotic. Those letters help to explain the forty or fifty poems in the Dickinson canon which cannot be understood unless recognized as love poems from one woman to another.

Certainly Dickinson had heterosexual interests as well—the Master letters, those to Judge Otis Lord, and many of her poems are irrefutable proof. But it is impossible to doubt the intensity of her involvement with women when one reads letters such as those to Emily Fowler:

I cannot wait to be with you... I was lonely without you, and wanted to write you a letter MANY times, but Kate [Hitchcock] was there too, and I was afraid you would both laugh. I should be stronger if I could see you oftener—I am very puny alone.
You make me so happy, and glad,
life seems worth living for, no
matter for all the trials.—early 1850
But another spring, dear friend, you
must and shall be here, and nobody
can take you away, for I will hide
you and keep you—and who would
think of taking you if I hold you
tight in my arms?—spring 1854

and to Kate Anthon:

Distinctly sweet your face stands in
its phanthom niche—I touch your
hand—my cheek, your cheek—I
stroke your vanished hair. Why did
you enter, sister, since you must
depart? Had not its heart been torn
enough but YOU must send your
shred?—summer 1860

and especially those to the woman who
became her sister-in-law, Sue Gilbert, with
whom, if her letters and notes are any
proof, she ostensibly had the most intense
and enduring emotional relationship of
her life:

Oh my darling one, how long you
wander from me, how weary I grow
of waiting and looking, and calling
for you; sometimes I shut my eyes,
and shut my heart towards you, and
try hard to forget you because you
grieve me so, but you’ll never go
away.—February 1852
To miss you, Sue, is power. The
stimulus of Loss makes most
possession so mean. To live lasts
always, but to love is firmer than to
live.—September 1871

The sentiments, and sometimes
even the imagery, of such letters are occa-
sionally adopted in Dickinson’s poems
and may help in the explication of those poems.
For example, the poem: “The Day she
goes/ Or Day she stays/ Are equally su-
preme—/ Existence has a stated width/
Departed, or at Home.” (Poem 1308,
Johnson edition) is more easily understood

in the context of a brief note to Sue: “To
the faithful Absence is condensed pres-
ence” (about 1878). The poem “Wild
Nights—Wild Nights!” (poem 249), which
caused many critics to observe a puzzling
“reversal of the lover role,” becomes clearer
in the light of an early letter to Sue (about
February 1852):

The wind blows and it rains. . . . I
hardly know which falls fastest, the
rain without, or within—Oh Susie, I
would nestle close to your warm
heart, and never hear the wind blow,
or the storm beat, again. Is there any
room there for me, or shall I wander
all homeless and alone?

While the language of the letter
lacks the poetic energy and sophisticated
imagery of the poem which was written
nine years later, both seem to suggest the
same thing: “If I were moored in you, I
would not be lost or lonely or afraid of the
storm.” When understood as a love lyric in
which the principals, both being women,
have no pre-defined roles or set sexual
functions, the poem no longer contains
the puzzling role-reversal that has so often
been observed.

Several biographers, most nota-
ably Rebecca Patterson, John Cody, and
Richard Sewall, have dealt with Emily
Dickinson’s homosexuality. Patterson, in
fact, suggests as a major thesis in her book,
The Riddle of Emily Dickinson, that Dick-
inson had a love affair with Kate Scott
Anthon which, at its conclusion in the
1860s, crushed Dickinson and accounted
for her “peculiarities” during the remain-
ing twenty-odd years of her life.

Cody adopts a Freudian approach
and argues that while Dickinson’s Puritan
heritage would not have permitted her to
indulge in homosexual love-making, she
had no wish to fulfill a female role since
she despised her weak mother and feared
her tyrannical father; thus well into adult-
hood she experienced “pre-pubescent”
crushes on other women, particularly Sue
Gilbert, who served as a mother-surrogate to Emily.

Sewall, while seeming at first to reject Cody's suggestion that Emily was in love with Sue and hurt and upset when she lost her to Austin, later refers to Emily's letters to Sue as "nothing less than love letters."

All of these writers cite ostensibly lesbian poems to support their biographical narrative. Dickinson's homoerotic poetry seems to span the entire length of her literary career, from one of her first poems, written in 1854 ("I have a Bird in spring") to one of her very late poems, written in 1883 ("To see her is a picture" in the third variant). While the subject of these poems is sometimes identifiable (it is frequently Sue), most often she is not. This is not surprising since, as several scholars have observed, we probably have only about one tenth of the letters Dickinson wrote and less than a thousandth of those written to her. But, while we may have no idea who the persons were who evoked some of Dickinson's most moving love lyrics, of one thing we may be certain: many of them were women.

The speaker in Dickinson's homoerotic poems is usually the lover and pursuer in the relationship. Such a relationship is often represented by the symbol of a nest in which the speaker finds (or at least expects to find) comfort and "home" with the other. But she recognizes that she cannot expect permanence in her love, not because it is an inherently flawed kind of love, but generally because the beloved other woman will eventually marry, as it was assumed most women would in the nineteenth century, being without an independent source of income or a profession that would make them self-sufficient. The speaker accepts the reality of this situation, but not without difficulty. What is much more difficult for her to accept, of course, is a beloved woman's cruelty which has no basis in custom or pragmatism. In such a situation the speaker usually cries out bitterly against the other woman, but she is willing to return to her and apparently to be hurt again. She is frequently self-pitying. Only occasionally does she perceive herself victorious in love, and then it is a poor victory, having conquered the other woman by arousing her pity. These homoerotic poems are never joyful, but that is to be expected in a society where heterosexual marriage was virtually believed inevitable and there was little possibility of two unrelated women establishing a life together if they were not wealthy through independent inheritance.


Lillian Faderman

DICTIONARIES AND ENCYCLOPEDIAS

Because of the knowledge explosion of recent decades, there has been an increasing demand for works of reference, both generalized and specialized, which will serve not only the interested lay public but also those engaged in primary research who would otherwise be unable to keep up with advances in neighboring fields.

The history of the great reference book enterprises goes back to the eighteenth-century Enlightenment. Stimulated by several lesser British exemplars, the great French Encyclopédie ou dictionnaire raisonné des sciences, des arts et des métiers began to appear in 1751. Edited by Denis Diderot and Jean d'Alembert, this work strove not only to provide a storehouse of factual information, but also to bring to readers the latest conceptual advances. It comes as something of a shock to find that the major article on "Sodomie" largely concerns masturbation,