cuted by reservation officials and Chris­
tian missionaries.

In the twentieth century, while European condemnation of homosexual­
ity has had an influence on many modern Indians, those who have retained their
traditions continue to respect berdaches and Amazons even today. This attitude
had a significant impact on the white founders of the homophile and gay libera­
tion movements in the United States and Canada. With a recent renaissance in In­
dian culture, younger gay and lesbian Indians have in turn been influenced by
the gay community to stand up openly and take pride in their accepting Indian tradi­
tions. Like traditionalist Indians, they feel an appreciation for the strength and the
magic of human diversity, and they accept people as they are rather than expect ev­
everyone to conform. This respect for the different gifts that gay people can provide
as a benefit for society, and a respect for women and for androgynous men, is hav­
ing an impact on Western culture as a whole.

BIBLIOGRAPHY. Will Roscoe, “Bibliog­
raphy of Berdache and Alternative
Gender Roles Among North American
Indians,” Journal of Homosexuality,
14:3/4 (1987), 81–171; Walter L.
Williams, The Spirit and the Flesh:
Sexual Diversity in American Indian
Walter L. Williams

INDO-EUROPEAN
Pederasty

Indo-European is the name given
to a family of languages extending from
Old Irish and Old Norse on the northwestern periphery of Europe to Old Persian and Sanskrit in the Middle East, together with
the modern descendants of these tongues. The discovery by western European schol­
ars that this set of languages was interre­
lated in the same way as the members of the Semitic family led to the hypothesis of
a primordial anthropological [ethnic] unity
of the speakers of the proto-language, of­
ten designated as Aryans in opposition to
the Semites and Hamites of the Near East. Further study of the original common
vocabulary of Indo-European pointed to a
cultural and institutional legacy of the
preliterate past which some investigators
sought to reconstruct in meticulous (though often speculative) detail.

Sergent’s Thesis. Recently Bernard Sergent has claimed that Indo-Euro­
pean warriors practiced initiatory pederasty until after their dispersion in the second millennium b.c. Before a youth proved his
manhood by a feat of valor, he was fem­
inized and reduced to the passive sexual
role. Sergent thus went beyond the nine­
teenth-century German scholars who
ascribed pederasty to the Dorian tribes­
men invading Greece ca. 1200 b.c., after
the Achaeans and other Greeks who had
no such institution had arrived there from
their Urheimat (primitive homeland) on
the Eurasian steppes (or wherever else a
particular hypothesis located it).

Nothing, however, proves that
pederasty was institutionalized among the
kshatriyas (warrior caste) of India, the
ancient Persians, or the grave patres of
early Rome. Just as the theory of “Dorian
invasions” and of their transformation of
the material culture of Greece by intro­
ducing iron and other innovations has been
discredited by twentieth-century archeol­
ogy and linguistics, the whole concept of
the dispersion of an Indo-European speech
community by nomadic conquerors dur­
ing the second millennium b.c. has also
been called into question. Colin Renfrew
argues that the Indo-Europeans dispersed
as early as 6000 b.c. as peaceful farmers. The institutionalization of pederasty in
Greece belongs to historic time, not to
prehistory.

The Greeks. Although Erich Be­
the argued in a celebrated 1907 article that
the Greeks believed that they transferred
their manliness to their boys through their
semen, many would still like to claim that
the original “Dorian” pederasty was
“pure,” i.e., devoid of overt sexuality. Like
most of the ancients, Cicero viewed Spartan mores with a grain of salt, even though they claimed not to soil even the thighs of their boys: "The Lacedemonians, while they permit all things except outrage in the love of youths, certainly distinguish the forbidden by a thin wall of partition from the sanctioned, for they allow embraces and a common couch to lovers" (De Republica, IV 4). Vase painting, graffiti, and literary allusions leave no doubt that intercrural and even anal intercourse were frequent and expected. Black-figured vases portray sexual contact more explicitly, with youths having larger virile members and more mature bodies, than the red-figured ones that replaced them after ca. 520 B.C. Even if Zaleucus, the earliest colonial lawgiver who copied much from Crete, may have introduced pederasty to Locri in 664 B.C., the pederasty of Phalanthus, Spartan colonizer of Tarentum in 706 B.C., was just another founder’s myth. The attempts of Sergent’s mentor Georges Dumézil to name the god or hero who established pederasty in every polis shows that its origin had to be justified in each, as Bethe realized long ago when he claimed that the practice spread to the other city-states from Sparta. The institutionalization of pederasty followed rather than preceded the rise of the city-states during the eighth century B.C. One should not conclude with Sergent that Greek paiderasteia was “not started by the influence of the Dorian or of any others.” It began in Crete in the seventh century B.C. and was popularized by Sparta’s military and athletic prowess before spreading to most of the rest of Hellas during the sixth century B.C.

Other Peoples. Inadequate also is the documentation that any other Indo-European peoples ever practiced initiatory pederasty. It has been claimed that Tacitus depicted the Germans as drowning “passive homosexuals” in bogs; recent scholarship has demonstrated that Tacitus’ expression meant “cowards and shirkers in combat.” Two other historians, however, Ammianus Marcellinus, writing ca. A.D. 380 and, more ambiguously, Procopius, writing ca. 550, expressed disgust that Germanic tribes, Taifales and Heruls, practiced pederasty. In the early Middle Ages Germanic law also failed to mention homosexual acts, except under Christian influence in Visigothic Spain. While Sergent omitted evidence from the Irish penitentials for Celtic pederasty, he implausibly rationalized Caesar’s silence in the Gallic Wars by claiming that the Roman general feared criticism of his own proclivities.

A recent effort at demolishing the Indo-European theory is Martin Bernal’s thesis in Black Athena (New Brunswick, 1987) that the Greeks did not bring with them from the Eurasian heartland the genius, the ideas, and the institutions from which Western civilization evolved, but borrowed them from the Hamitic and Semitic peoples of the eastern Mediterranean. It was only the racism and anti-Semitism of nineteenth-century Germany that invented the “Aryan model” of Hellenic greatness. It is true that Greek civilization began in the south and east—the interface with the far older cultures of Egypt, Syria, and Mesopotamia—and that in the sphere of material culture the Greeks and Romans and even their successor nations did not innovate; they merely adopted the heritage of the Near Eastern peoples. But in politics, in science, and in philosophy the Hellenes were supremely original: the Near East simply had no counterpart to their democratic city-states or to their achievements in speculative thought. Moreover, it may be argued that the pederastic spirit guarded the cradle of Western civilization, shielding it well from the despotism and servility of the Persians and their client-peoples—with religions that rejected and condemned homosexual expression as an abomination in the sight of their deities. Though suggestive, the notion of a common Indo-European tradi-
tion of initiatory pederasty long antedating the rise of Hellenic civilization remains essentially hypothetical.


William A. Percy

INDONESIA

This island nation shares with its Southeast Asian neighbors a heritage of acceptance of homosexual behavior in its traditional cultures. Though little is known about the same-sex practices of many of the tribes of the East Indies, there is information from early explorers about several cultures. Among both the Dayak (Iban) of Kalimantan, and the Bugis (Makasar) of Sulawesi, there was a socially-recognized "half-man/half-woman" androgynous role for males similar to the berdache tradition among American Indians. Such individuals were often sacred religious leaders of great spiritual power, wore a mixture of men's and women's clothes, combined masculine and feminine aspects in their character, and had sex with men. Among the Bugis, such bisu individuals traditionally resided at the courts of local rulers, where they took care of the sacred royal ornaments.

Melanesian Cultures. In contrast, the eastern part of Indonesia is Irian Jaya, which is a totally different culture area from the rest of the nation. Irian is the western part of the island of Papua New Guinea, where the tribes share the Pacific Melanesian way of life. Melanesian cultures emphasize super-masculinity for males, who are grouped together in warriorhoods. In these societies, feminized males are looked down upon, and boys are pressured to adopt the masculine warrior lifestyle. One means of gaining masculinity, in the lifestyle of many of these Melanesian cultures, is for a boy to absorb masculine characteristics through sex with a man. Accordingly, every boy is expected to go through a stage of growth, in which he either orally ingests or anally receives semen. It is believed that he cannot mature into manhood without gaining this sperm through homosexual acts, even though he will marry heterosexually after he matures. Homosexual relations, often done in a ritual context, provide a major means for transmission of masculine values from one generation of males to another.

Javanese Culture. The major culture of Indonesia is Javanese, and only in the Ponorogo area of eastern Java is homosexuality institutionalized in man–boy relationships. Here, however, boys are valued for their feminine characteristics, and men will take a boy as a gemblakan. Traditionally, gemblakans were kept by a warok, a spiritually and physically powerful masculine adult man. Waroks would gain social status by the lavish wealth they could display on their beautiful gemblakan. A man would arrange with the boy's parents to keep him for one or two years, and would present the parents with gifts and financial support during the time in which he kept the boy. Some gemblakans were as young as seven years old, but most were in their teens, and some were loved so much by their man that they stayed together until the boy was in his twenties.

In recent decades, however, it has become too expensive for most men to support a gemblakan, so in the traditional villages of Ponorogo where the practice still continues, several men will combine their resources to share a boy. The group of men is usually either young and unmarried or a royal dance troupe, where the boy